

Audress, COMMINIOSIONER FOR LATERITS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| Γ | APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| _ | 10/004,155                | 10/19/2001                     | Charles N. Serhan    | 7214.08                 | 9126             |  |
|   | 25763 7                   | 590 04/28/2004                 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|   | DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP      |                                |                      | JONES, DWAYNE C         |                  |  |
|   | INTELLECTU<br>50 SOUTH SD | AL PROPERTY DEPA<br>XTH STREET | ARTMENT              | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |                           | IS, MN 55402-1498              |                      | 1614                    |                  |  |
|   |                           |                                |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004 | 4                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AND THE BOOK OF THE CONTROL OF THE

|   |  | Dwayne C Jones                   | 1614                  |             |  |                                 |   |
|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>or Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence ad     | ldress      |  |                                 |   |
| THE  <br>- External after<br>- If the<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any  | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| Status  |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja  | nuary 2004.                      |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 2a) <u></u> □   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This  | action is non-final.             |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 3)[   | Since this application is in condition for allowan   | ce except for formal matters, pi | rosecution as to the  | e merits is |  |                                 |   |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under E   | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 153 O.G. 213.         |             |  |                                 |   |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 4)🖂   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 26-28</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| ·   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 26-28</u> is/are rejected.   |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
|   |  |                                  |                       |             |  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. |   |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.            |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 9) 🗌 .  | The specification is objected to by the Examiner   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 10)   | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 11) 🗌   | 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul> |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
|   |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |
| * S   | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| •   |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| Attachment  | (s)  | n 🗖                              |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
|   |  |                                  |                       |             |  |                                 |   |
| 3) L. Lintorn   | nation Discincure Statementics (PTD 1449 or PTD/SR/IIR)  | ort Tranuce orinomiaci           | raidin Abumanin it it | - (.12)     |  |                                 |   |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of Claims

- 1. Claims 20-22, and 26-28 are pending.
- 2. Claims 20-22, and 26-28 are rejected.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-22, and 26-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Obviousness-type Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 20-22, and 26-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,441,951. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and U.S. Patent No. 5,441,951 are directed to treating inflammation or an inflammatory response.

- 5. Claims 20-22, and 26-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,353,026. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and U.S. Patent No. 6,353,026 are directed to treating inflammation or an inflammatory response, including those PLD is involved.
- 6. Claims 20-22, and 26-28 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,720,354. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and U.S. Patent No. 6,720,354 are directed to treating inflammation or an inflammatory response, including those PMN is involved.
- 7. Claims 20-22, and 26-28 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20-22, and 26-28 of copending Application No. 10/042,043. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant invention and copending Application No. 10/042,043 are directed to treating inflammation or an inflammatory response, including those PMN is involved.
- 8. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

0578. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursday, and Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, may be reached at (571) 272-0584. The official fax No. for correspondence is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a> Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tech Ctr. 1614 April 26, 2004